SALAMANDRA **53**(4) | 485–493 | 30 October 2017 | ISSN 0036–3375

A new species of poison frog, genus *Ameerega* (Anura: Dendrobatidae), from the southern Amazonian rain forest

Matheus Oliveira Neves[1,2], Leandro Alves da Silva[1,3], Paulo Sérgio Akieda[1], Rodrigo Cabrera[1], Ricardo Koroiva[2] & Diego José Santana[1,2,3]

[1)] Laboratório de Zoologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, 79070–900, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil

[2)] Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia e Conservação, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, 79070–900, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil

[3)] Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Animal, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, 79070–900, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil

Corresponding author: Diego José Santana, e-mail: jose.santana@ufms.br

Manuscript received: 29 July 2016
Accepted 10 August 2017 by Stefan Lötters

Abstract. A new species of poison frog in the *Ameerega picta* group is described from Amazonia, states of Pará and Mato Grosso. It inhabits rock outcrops in open areas near streams of the central Teles Pires River system. The new species differs from congeners by the combination of four characters: 24.87–28.59 mm adult SVL, black immaculate dorsum, white ventral side with black vermicular blotches, and an orange stripe in the axillar region. Genetically, the new species is most closely related to *A. flavopicta*.

Key words. Amphibia, Anura, Dendrobatidae, *Ameerega* sp. n., *A. picta* group, systematics, taxonomy, Brazil.

Introduction

The Amazonian rain forest is one of the most diversified ecoregions in the world (Mittermeier et al. 2003). New species are still discovered in this region even within well-known groups of animals, such as large mammals (Cozzuol et al. 2013, Hrbek et al. 2014) and birds (Pivetta 2013). The same applies to amphibians, and many new species have been described in recent years (e.g., Angulo & Icochea 2010, Simões et al. 2010, Kok et al. 2013, Melo-Sampaio et al. 2013, Lima et al. 2014, 2015, Fouquet et al. 2015, 2016, Vaz-Silva et al. 2015). Amongst them, several studies have used integrative taxonomy approaches to diagnose species, which facilitates the recognition of the cryptic diversity (Bickford et al. 2006, Fouquet et al. 2007, Padial et al. 2010, Funk et al. 2011).

The family Dendrobatidae currently is composed of almost 200 described species. The genus *Ameerega* Bauer, 1986 is the most species-rich group in it and contains more than 32 species (Frost et al. 2016). This genus has a mainly cis-Andean distribution, with most species being distributed in the Amazon basin and adjacent areas (Grant et al. 2006, Frost 2016). The taxonomy of *Ameerega* is historically poorly understood, and the actual number of members in this group remains far from being fixed (Lötters et al. 2005). One reason for this is that interspecific variation is limited. Using integrative taxonomy some sibling species have been detected hidden in nominal species and several taxonomic misinterpretations have been resolved in recent years (e.g., Lötters et al. 2005, Grant et al. 2006, Brown & Twomey 2009).

In this paper, we use an integrative approach to describe a new species of this genus from southern Amazonia in the northern parts of the states of Mato Grosso and Pará, Brazil.

Materials and methods

Fieldwork

We collected specimens by visual searches in February and May of 2016 at two sites: municipality of Paranaíta, state of Mato Grosso; and municipality of Jacareacanga, state of Pará. Both sites are located near the hydroelectric power plant São Manoel (9°11'20.85" S, 57°3'11.64" W, ~140 m above sea level). The collected frogs were euthanised using a liquid solution of 2% lidocaine chlorhydrate, fixed in 10% formalin, and transferred to permanent storage in 70% ethanol. We also collected tissue samples (muscle and liver) before specimen fixation and stored them in 100%

© 2017 Deutsche Gesellschaft für Herpetologie und Terrarienkunde e.V. (DGHT), Mannheim, Germany
Available at http://www.salamandra-journal.com

ethanol. Vouchers have been deposited in the Coleção Zoológica de Referência da Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul (ZUFMS-AMP), Campo Grande, MS, Museu de Zoologia João Moojen (MZUFV) of the Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa, MG, and in the Museu de Zoologia da Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador da Bahia, BA (UFBA).

Bioacoustics

We recorded the vocalizations of one male paratype (ZUFMS-AMP 05143) at the type locality and analysed a total of 33 advertisement calls. A Tascam DR-44 digital recorder was used. Recordings were made between 11:00 and 12:00 h (air temperature 29°C; air humidity 80%) and digitalized at 44.1 kHz with a 16-bit resolution. We analysed all calls with Raven Pro v. 1.5, 64-bit version (Bioacoustics Research Program 2014).

Morphology

We used the following characters of Grant et al. (2006) and Lötters et al. (2007): colour in life (compared to other species based on literature); presence/absence of: bright signal spot in the calf region; light dorsolateral stripe; dark lateral stripe; collar pattern; neopalatinae; maxillary teeth; median lingual process; dorsal skin texture; toe webbing; and dermal flap. In addition, we examined the shape of the end of finger IV and the relative sizes of the fingers.

We measured morphometric characters of adult specimens using digital callipers (precision = 0.01 mm), following Haddad & Martins (1994): snout to vent length (SVL); head length (HL); head width (HW); internarinal distance (IND); eye to nostril distance (END); eye diameter (ED); tympanum diameter (TD); hand length (HAL); thigh length (THL); tibia length (TBL); and foot length (FL) (from the tip of the longest toe to the articulation of tibiofibula and tarsus). We identified the sexes of specimens by the presence/absence of vocal slits.

Material studied in addition to the new species is listed in Appendix 1.

Molecular data

Whole genomic DNA was extracted from muscle tissue using the QIAGEN DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (QIAGEN). PCR amplification and sequencing was performed with the primers 16Sa (5-CGC CTG TTT ATC AAA AAC AT-3) and 16Sb (5-CCG GTC TGA ACT CAG ATC ACG T-3) of Palumbi et al. (2002) to amplify a section of the mitochondrial 16S ribosomal RNA gene. PCR conditions followed those described by Costa el al. (2016). PCR products were purified with ethanol/sodium acetate and sequenced with an ABI 3730 XL DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California/CA). Sequences were edited by aligning forward and reverse reads using Geneious v. 8.1.7. Final sequences were deposited in GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/).

Mitochondrial sequences were aligned with those of other *Ameerega* species available from GenBank (n = 186, Supplementary document 1). The final sequence length used for further phylogenetic analyses was 476 bp. Sequence divergences (uncorrected p-values) were calculated using Mega v. 6.06 (Tamura et al. 2013).

Nomenclatural acts

The electronic edition of this article conforms to the requirements of the amended International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, and hence the new names contained herein are available under that Code of this article. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The LSID (Life Science Identifier) for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub: 61849710-F077-4F50-90F1-FD5B39BF83C8. The electronic edition of this work was published in a journal with an ISSN, has been archived, and is available from the following digital repository: www.salamandra-journal.com.

Results

Ameerega munduruku sp. n.

(Figs. 1–3, Table 1)

ZooBank LSID: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub: 61849710-F077-4F50-90F1-FD5B39BF83C8.

Ameerega picta (non Tschudi): Prates et al. (2012)

Holotype: ZUFMS-AMP 03762, adult male, from the Jacareacanga Municipality, state of Pará, Brazil (09°19'1.00" S, 56°46'35.76" W, 200 m a.s.l.), collected by L.A. da Silva, 25 May 2016.

Paratypes: Five adult males (ZUFMS-AMP 03747–03748; MZUFV 17134–17135; UFBA 14250) and five adult females (ZUFMS-AMP 03749; MZUFV 17136; UFBA 14249, 14251, 14254), collected along with the holotype by the same collector; two adult females (MZUFV 17132; ZUFMS-AMP 03761); one adult male (ZUFMS-AMP 05143) from the type locality, collected by L.A. da Silva, 17 January 2017; and one adult male (MZUFV 17133) from Paranaíta Municipality, state of Mato Grosso, Brazil (09°18'57.96" S, 56°47'33.53" W), collected by M.O. Neves, 25 February 2016.

Diagnosis: The following characters diagnose the new species as a member of the genus *Ameerega* sensu Grant et al. (2006): colour in life aposematic; bright signal spot in the calf region present; light dorsolateral stripe present; light lateral stripe absent (the line visible from the lower lip to groin is an extension of the ventral coloration); presence

Table 1. Measurements (in mm) of the holotype and entire type series of *Ameerega munduruku* sp. n. (the mean is followed by the standard deviation and ranges in parentheses). Abbreviations are specified in Material and methods.

	Holotype (male)	Females (n=7)	Males (n=7)
SVL	26.00	25.30 ± 3.55 (20.42–28.59)	26.03 ± 0.79 (24.87–27.33)
HL	5.91	5.92 ± 0.82 (4.7–7.08)	6.28 ± 0.42 (5.59–6.78)
HW	7.88	7.54 ± 0.84 (6.54–8.59)	7.80 ± 0.32 (7.1–8.11)
IND	3.26	2.71 ± 0.27 (2.29–3.07)	2.88 ± 0.26 (2.57–3.26)
END	2.74	2.43 ± 0.30 (2.2–2.89)	2.70 ± 0.15 (2.49–2.94)
ED	3.01	2.91 ± 0.43 (2.52–3.64)	2.86 ± 0.15 (2.64–3.01)
TD	1.70	1.63 ± 0.26 (1.36–1.97)	1.67 ± 0.18 (1.42–2.01)
HAL	6.04	5.37 ± 0.73 (4.37–6.25)	5.67 ± 0.49 (4.66–6.13)
THL	13.41	11.99 ± 0.79 (10.98–13.29)	12.77 ± 0.66 (11.65–13.45)
TBL	12.67	12.21 ± 1.07 (10.31–13.38)	12.81 ± 0.50 (12.13–13.60)
FL	10.22	9.46 ± 1.65 (6.66–11.71)	10.25 ± 0.43 (9.66–10.57)

of the lateral stripe dark; neopalatinae present; dorsal skin slightly granular; finger I > finger II when adpressed; webbing between toes absent; presence of dermal flap.

The new species is diagnosed and distinguished from all other described *Ameerega* species by the combination of the following characters: (1) medium size (SVL of adult males 24.87–27.33 mm, of adult females 20.42–28.59 mm); (2) snout truncate in dorsal view (Fig. 2A); (3) snout protruding in lateral view (Fig. 2B); (4) hand small (HAL/SVL = 0.21) (Fig. 2C); (5) dorsum black and granular in life (Fig. 3A); (6) in life, an orange spot is present in the axillar region, an orange stripe runs from the inguinal region to the dorsal face of the thigh, and another orange spot is present in the concealed region of the tibia (Figs 3A–B); (7) dorsolateral stripes cream to pale yellow in life (Figs 3A–B); (8) venter and flank white with black vermicular blotches, and gular region with black blotches (Figs 1B, 3B); (9) tympanic annulus well visible only in its lower portion, supratympanic fold absent; (10) discs of the fingers well developed (Fig. 2C).

Ameerega munduruku sp. n. can be distinguished from *A. berohoka*, *A. flavopicta* and *A. braccata* by its black immaculate dorsum (irregular cream spots in *A. berohoka*, bright yellow spots in *A. flavopicta,* golden, yellow or white spots in *A. braccata*). The new species is distinguishable from *A. berohoka*, *A. picta, A. hahneli, A. trivittata* and *A. pulchripecta* by having a white venter with black vermicular blotches (black and bluish marbling in *A. berohoka* and *A. pulchripecta,* bluish with dark gular region in *A. hahneli,* dark gular region *A. picta*, entirely black in *A. trivit-*



Figure 1. Holotype of *Ameerega munduruku* sp. n.(ZUFMS-AMP 03762) in (a) dorsal and (b) ventral views.

tata). *Ameerega munduruku* sp. n. (mean SVL 26.03 mm) is larger than *A. berohoka* (mean SVL 21.9 mm) and *A. braccata* (mean SVL 21.3 mm) and smaller than *A. trivittata* (mean SVL 35.0 mm) and *A. silverstonei* (mean 42.8 mm). Furthermore, *A. munduruku* sp. n. is distinguished from *A. picta* by its smaller hands (HAL/SVL = 0.21 vs. 0.3). The ventral thigh coloration of the new taxon described herein (white with black vermicular blotches) differs from that of *A. berohoka* (pale immaculate), and its slightly granular dorsal skin distinguishes it from *A. macero* (strongly granular dorsal skin). Moreover, *A. munduruku* sp. n. differs from *A. picta* and *A. trivittata* by the presence of a complete cream labial stripe (incomplete).



Figure 2. Holotype of *Ameerega munduruku* sp. n. (ZUFMS-AMP 03762): (a) head in dorsal and (b) lateral views, (c) hand, and (d) foot.

Ameerega munduruku sp. n. differs form some species of the genus by the combination of: (1) black immaculate dorsum (dark greyish brown in *A. boliviana*, and spotted or striped patterns in *A. bassleri*, *A. berohoka*, *A. boehmei*, *A. braccata*, *A. flavopicta*, *A. pepperi*, *A. silverstonei, A. yoshina* and *A. yungicola*); (2) ventral region white with black vermicular blotches in life (blue, bluish or bluish white with black spots in *A. altamazonica, A. cainarachi, A. hahneli*, *A. ignipedis*, *A. macero* and *A. petersi*, and yellow in *A. rubriventris*); and (3) an orange stripe in the axillar region (yellow in *A. andina*, *A. hahneli* and *A. ingeri*).

Description of holotype: Adult male, SVL 26.0 mm (Fig. 1). Dorsal skin of body granular and dorsal surface of hind limbs slightly granular, dorsal skin of head, forelimbs and ventral surfaces smooth (Fig. 1A). Dorsal surface black (Fig. 1A). In life, a cream dorsolateral stripe (faded in preservative) runs from the tip of the snout, passing over the eyelid towards the inguinal region (Figs 1A, 2A), and a cream (faded in preservative) labial stripe extends from the tip of the upper jaw to the fore limb (Figs 1B, 2B). Flank sectioned by a black upper stripe with the lower re-



Figure 3. Individual of *Ameerega munduruku* sp. n. in life from the type locality (non-collected specimen) in (a) dorsal and (b) ventral views.

gion white with black vermicular blotches extending to the ventral region of the body and onto the limbs (Figs 1A–B). Dorsal face of limbs brown with a few black vermicular blotches on the hands. Gular region with black spots (Fig. 1B). In life, an orange spot (faded in preservative) is present in the axillar region. An orange stripe (faded in preservative) extends from the inguinal region to the dorsal face of the thigh. Another orange spot (faded in preservative) is present in the concealed area of the tibia. In life, iris metallic golden (grey in preservative). Tongue with a large base, narrowing in the anterior portion, with the tip more acuminated. Premaxillary and maxillary teeth absent. Vocal slits present. Snout protruding in lateral view (Fig. 2B), truncate in ventral and dorsal views (Figs 1, 2A). Nares situated and directed posterolaterally at the tip of the snout; nares visible in frontal and in ventral views, but not in dorsal view. Canthus rostralis sloped, slightly concave; loreal region nearly vertical. Eye large and prominent with a diameter of 15% of the SVL; pupil rounded, horizontally elliptical. Tympanum circular, posterodorsally indistinct, tympanic annulus well visible in lower portion; diameter less than 56% of ED; supratympanic fold absent. Hand length 23% of SVL (Fig. 2C). Relative lengths of adpressed fingers: IV < II < III = I (Fig. 2C). Finger discs moderately expanded (Fig. 2C). A large, oval outer metacarpal tubercle on median base of palm; a smaller inner metacarpal tubercle on base of finger I; two well-developed subarticular tubercles on fingers III and IV, one prominent and well-developed subarticular tubercle on fingers I and II, and one intercalar tubercle on finger I (Fig. 2C). Tibia length 49% of SVL. Relative lengths of adpressed toes: I < II < V < III < IV (Fig. 2D); outer metatarsal tubercle circular and well developed; inner metatarsal tubercle ovoid and larger than outer one (Fig. 2D). One subarticular tubercle on toes I and II each, two subarticular tubercles on toes III and V each, and three subarticular tubercles on toe IV (Fig. 2D). Hands and feet lacking lateral fringes and webbing. Tarsal tubercles absent.

Variation: The paratypes resemble the holotype in their general morphology save for females not having vocal slits. Measurements of the type specimens are provided in Table 1.

Advertisement call: The advertisement call (Fig. 4) of *Ameerega munduruku* sp. n. consists of a single harmonic note with a duration of 0.113–0.116 s (mean 0.136 ± 0.012 s) and an intercall-interval of 0.096–0.639 s (mean 0.132 ± 0.093 s). The dominant frequency ranges from 3,445.3 to 3,617.6 Hz (mean 3,591.5 ± 62.7). The single harmonic note of the advertisement call of the new species distinguishes it from *A. berohoka*, *A. braccata*, and *A. flavopicta*, which all have pulsed notes, and from *A. boehmei* that has indistinctly pulsed notes (Lötters et al. 2009, Martins & Giaretta 2012, Andrade et al. 2014). The dominant frequency of the advertisement call of the new species is distinct from that of *A. altamazonica* (4,300.0 to 5,140.0 Hz), *A. berohoka* (3,919.0 to 4,478.9 Hz), *A. boehmei* (2,650.0 to 3,220.0 Hz), *A. braccata* (3,740.0 to 4,210.0 Hz) and *A. picta* (3,400.0 to 4,300.0 Hz) (Haddad & Martins, 1994, Twomey & Brown 2008, Lötters et al. 2009, Andrade et al. 2014). The call duration (i.e., the single harmonic note) in *A. munduruku* sp. n. differs from that of *A. braccata* (0.065 s), *A. hahneli* (0.015 s) and *A. picta* (0.05 s) (Haddad & Martins 1994, Twomey & Brown 2008, Lötters et al. 2009).

Molecular genetics: Sequences of the mitochondrial 16S ribosomal RNA gene of two paratypes (UFBA 14252, ZUFMS-AMP 03752) of *Ameerega munduruku* sp. n. have been deposited at GenBank (KX666099, KX666098). Average sequence divergences between the new species and congeners range from 3.0 to 10.2% (Supplementary docu-



Figure 4. An (a) oscillogram and an (b) audiospectrogram of the advertisement call of a male paratype of *Ameerega munduruku* sp. n. (ZUFMS-AMP 05143) from the type locality (air temperature 29°C; relative humidity 80%).



Figure 5. Known geographic range of *Ameerega munduruku* sp. n. in Amazonian Brazil. Abbreviations: AM = Amazonas State, MT = Mato Grosso State, PA = Pará State.

ment 2). Considering those *Ameerega* species for which genetic information is available, *A. munduruku* sp. n. is most similar to *A. flavopicta*.

Life History: We found specimens of *Ameerega munduruku* sp. n. in Mato Grosso Seasonal Forest and Madeira-Tapajós Forest of the Amazon forest domain. Individuals inhabited rock outcrops near water bodies in glades within forested areas, using rocks on the floor as shelter. Additional individuals were observed inside the forest habitat. The new species is apparently common in the area, and is easily found on fallen trunks, in leaf litter and on rocks. Males were calling at 09:30 h perched on the herbaceous vegetation, ~ 30 cm above the ground. We found one male (not collected) carrying nine tadpoles on its dorsum during the wet season (November 2016). *Allobates tapajos* and *Adenomera* sp. were found sympatrically with *A. munduruku* sp. n.

Distribution: The new species is only known from the type locality (Jacareacanga Municipality, state of Pará) and one site ~ 70 km away on the other side of the Teles Pires River (Paranaíta Municipality, Mato Grosso State) (Fig. 5).

Etymology: The specific epithet *munduruku* is a noun in apposition referring to the Munduruku ethnic group, which inhabits the southwestern parts of the state of Pará and the northern region of the state of Mato Grosso, Brazil.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Bruno F. dos Santos for taking the holotype photographs; to Maick Campos Costa (UFMT) for taking photographs of specimens from UFMT, to Renato Feio, Henrique Folly (MZUFV), Célio F. B. Haddad (UNESP), and Marcelo Napoli for allowing us to examine specimens in their collections. We also thank Sarah Mângia for her help during the authoring process and an early review of the manuscript. Thanks also go to the Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade for issuing a collecting permit and CAPES for scholarships to MON, LAS, RC and RK.

References

Andrade, F. S., I. A. Haga, F. A. M. Martins & A. A. Giaretta (2014): On advertisement call of the poison frog *Ameerega berohoka* (Dendrobatidae, Anura) from the Brazilian Cerrado. – Zootaxa, **3838**: 392–396.

Andrade, F. S., F. M. Magalhães, C. H. L. Nunes-de-Almeida, A. C. P. Veiga-Menoncello, D. J. Santana, A. A. Garda, D. Loebmann, S. M. Recco-Pimentel, A. A. Giaretta & L. F. Toledo (2016): A new species of long-legged *Pseudopaludicola* from northeastern Brazil (Anura, Leptodactylidae, Leiuperinae). – Salamandra, **52**: 107–124.

Arifin, U., D. T. Iskandar, D. P. Bickford, R. M. Brown, R. Meier & S. N. Kutty (2011): Phylogenetic relationships within the genus *Staurois* (Anura, Ranidae) based on 16S rRNA sequences. – Zootaxa, **2744**: 39–52.

Angulo, A. & J. Icochea (2010): Cryptic species complexes, widespread species and conservation: lessons from Amazonian frogs of the *Leptodactylus marmoratus* group (Anura: Leptodactylidae). – Systematics and Biodiversity, **8**: 357–370.

Bickford, D., D. J. Lohman, N. S. Sodhi, P. K. L. Ng, R. Meier, K. Winker, K. K. Ingram & I. Das (2006): Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. – Trends in Ecology and Evolution, **22**: 148–155.

Bioacoustics Research Program (2014): Raven Pro: Interactive Sound Analysis Software (Version 1.5). – The Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY. Available from http://www.birds.cornell.edu/raven.

Brandão, R. A. & A. F. Araújo (2008): Changes in anuran species richness and abundance resulting from hydroelectric dam flooding in central Brazil. – Biotropica, **40**: 263–266.

Brown, J. L. & E. Twomey (2009): Complicated histories: three new species of poison frogs of the genus *Ameerega* (Anura: Dendrobatidae) from north-central Peru. – Zootaxa, **2049**: 1–38.

Brown, R. M., C. D. Siler, A. C. Diesmos & A. C. Alcala (2009): Philippine frogs of the genus *Leptobrachium* (Anura; Megophryidae): phylogeny-based species delimitation, taxonomic review, and descriptions of three new species. – Herpetological Monographs, **23**: 1–44.

Clough, M. & K. Summers (2000): Phylogenetic systematics and biogeography of the poison frogs: evidence from mitochondrial DNA sequences. – Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, **70**: 515–540.

Costa, H. C., D. J. Santana, F. Leal, R. Koroiva & P. C. A. Garcia (2016): A new species of *Helicops* (Serpentes: Dipsadidae: Hydropsini) from southeastern Brazil. – Herpetologica, **72**: 157–166.

Cozzuol, M. A., C. L. Clozato, E. C. Holanda, F. H. G. Rodrigues, S. Nienow, B. Thoisy, R. A. F. Redondo & F. R. Santos (2014): A new species of tapir from the Amazon. – Journal of Mammalogy, **94**: 1331–1345.

Dias, P. H. dos S., R. C. Amaro, A. M. P. T. de Carvalho-e-Silva & M. T. Rodrigues (2013): Two new species of *Proceratophrys* Miranda-Ribeiro, 1920 (Anura; Odontophrynidae) from the Atlantic forest, with taxonomic remarks on the genus. – Zootaxa, **3682**: 277–304.

Fouquet, A., Q. Martinez, L. Zeidler, E. A. Courtois, P. Gaucher, M. Blanc, J. D. Lima, S. M. Souza, M. T. Rodrigues & P. J. R. Kok (2016): Cryptic diversity in the *Hypsiboas semilineatus* species group (Amphibia, Anura) with the description of a new species from the eastern Guiana Shield. – Zootaxa, **4084**: 79–104.

Fouquet, A., S. M. Souza, P. M. S. Nunes, P. J. R. Kok, F. F. Curcio, C. M. Carvalho, T. Grant & M. T. Rodrigues (2015): Two new endangered species of *Anomaloglossus* (Anura: Aromobatidae) from Roraima State, northern Brazil. – Zootaxa, **3926**: 191–210.

Fouquet, A., A. Gilles, M. Vences, C. Marty, M. Blanc & N. J. Gemmell (2007): Underestimation of species richness in Neotropical frogs revealed by mtDNA analyses. – PLoS ONE, **10**: 1–10.

Funk, W. C., M. Caminer & S. R. Ron (2011): High levels of cryptic species diversity uncovered in Amazonian frogs. – Proceedings of the Royal Society B, Biological Sciences, **279**: 1806–1814.

Frost, D. R. (2016): Amphibian Species of the World: an Online Reference. Version 6.0 (18/07/2016). – Electronic Database accessible at http://research.amnh.org/herpetology/amphibia/index.html. – American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA.

Frost, D. R., T. Grant, J. Faivovich, R. H. Bain, A. Haas, C. F. B. Haddad, R. O. De Sá, A. Channing, M. Wilkison, S. C. Donnelan, C. J. Raxworthy, J. A. Campbell, B. L. Blotto, P. Moler, R. C. Drewes, R. A. Nussbaum, J. D. Lynch, D. M. Green & W. C. Wheeler (2006): The amphibian tree of life. – Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, **297**: 1–370.

Garda, A. A. & D. C. Cannatella (2007): Phylogeny and biogeography of paradoxical frogs (Anura, Hylidae, Pseudae) inferred from 12S and 16S mitochondrial DNA. – Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, **44**: 104–114.

Grant, T., D. R. Frost, J. P. Caldwell, R. Gagliardo, C. F. B. Haddad, P. J. R. Kok, B. D. Means, B. P. Noonan, W. Schargel & W. C. Wheeler (2006): Phylogenetic systematics of dart-poison frogs and their relatives (Anura: Athesphatanura: Dendrobatidae). – Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, **299**: 1–262.

Haddad, C. F. B. & M. Martins (1994): Four species of Brazilian poison frogs related to *Epipedobates pictus* (Dendrobatidae): taxonomy and natural history observations. – Herpetologica, **50**: 282–295.

Heled, J., & A. J. Drummond (2010): Bayesian inference of species trees from multilocus data. – Molecular Biology and Evolution, **27**: 570–580.

Hrbek, T., V. M. F. Silva, N. Dutra, W. Gravena, A. R. Martin & I. P. Farias (2014): A new species of river dolphin from Brazil or: how little do we know our biodiversity. – PLoS ONE, **9**: 1–12.

IUCN (2001): IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria: Version 3.1. IUCN Species Survival Commission. – IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK.

Jansen, M., R. Bloch, A. Schulze & M. Pfenninger (2011): Integrative inventory of Bolivia's lowland anurans revels hidden diversity. – Zoologica Scripta, **40**: 567–583.

Kok, P. J. R., M. Hölting & R. Ernst (2013): A third microendemic to the Iwokrama Mountains of central Guyana: a new "cryptic" species of *Allobates* Zimmerman and Zimmerman, 1988 (Anura: Aromobatidae). – Organisms Diversity & Evolution, **13**: 621–638.

Lima, A. P., P. I. Simões & I. L. Kaefer (2014): A new species of *Allobates* (Anura: Aromobatidae) from the Tapajós River basin, Pará State, Brazil. – Zootaxa, **3889**: 355–387.

Lima, A. P., P. I. Simões & I. L. Kaefer (2015): A new species of *Allobates* (Anura: Aromobatidae) from Parque Nacional da Amazônia, Pará State, Brazil. – Zootaxa, **3980**: 501–525.

Lötters, S., A. Schmitz & S. Reichle (2005): A new cryptic species of poison frog from the Bolivian Yungas. – Herpetozoa, **18**: 115–124.

Lötters, S., K. H. Jungfer, W. F. Henkel & W. Schmidt (2007): Poison frogs: Biology, species & captive husbandry. – Chimaira, Frankfurt/M.

Lötters S., A. Schmitz, S. Reichle, D. Rödder & V. Quennet (2009): Another case of cryptic diversity in poison frogs (Dendrobatidae: *Ameerega*) – description of a new species from Bolivia. – Zootaxa, **2018**: 20–30.

Lötters, S. & M. Vences (2000): Bemerkungen zur Nomenklatur und Taxonomie peruanischer Pfeilgiftfrösche. – Salamandra, **36**: 247–260.

Maciel, A. O., D. J. Santana, E. T. Silva & R. N. Feio (2009): Amphibia, Gymnophiona, Caeciliidae, *Siphonops hardyi* Boulenger, 1888: Distribution extension, new state record and notes on meristic data. – Check List, **5**: 919–921.

Martins, L. B. & A. A. Giaretta (2012): *Ameerega flavopicta* (Lutz, 1925): First dart-poison frog (Anura: Dendrobatidae) recorded for the state of São Paulo, Brazil, with comments on its advertisement calls and taxonomy. – Check List, **8**: 502–504.

Melo-Sampaio, P. R., M. B. De Souza & P. L. V. Peloso (2013): A new, riparian, species of *Allobates* Zimmermann and Zimmermann, 1988 (Anura: Aromobatidae) from southwestern Amazonia. – Zootaxa, **3716**: 336–348.

Mittermeier, R. A., C. G. Mittermeier, T. M. Brooks, J. D. Pilgrim, W. R. Konstant, G. A. B. Fonseca & C. Kormos (2003): Wilderness and biodiversity conservation. – Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA, **100**: 10309–10313.

Padial, J. M., A. Miralles, I. De La Riva & M. Vences (2010): The integrative future of taxonomy. – Frontiers in Zoology, **7**: 1–14.

Palumbi, S., A. Martin, S. Romano, W. O. Mcmillan, L. Stice & G. Grabowski (2002): The simple fool's guide to PCR, version 2.0. – Honolulu, EUA.

Pivetta, M. (2013): Novas aves da Amazônia. – Pesquisa FAPESP, **207**: 19–23.

Prates, I., M. M. Antoniazzi, J. M. Sciani, D. C. Pimenta, L. F. Toledo, C. F. Haddad & C. Jared (2012): Skin glands, poison and mimicry in dendrobatid and leptodactylid amphibians. – Journal of Morphology, **273**: 279–290.

Roberts, J. L., J. L. Brown, R. May, W. Arizabal, R. Schulte & K. Summers (2006): Genetic divergence and speciation in lowland and montane Peruvian poison frogs. – Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, **41**: 149–164.

Rojas, R. R., V. T. de Carvalho, R. W. ÁvilA, I. P. Farias, M. Gordo & T. Hrbek (2015): Two new species of *Amazophrynella* (Amphibia: Anura: Bufonidae) from Loreto, Peru. – Zootaxa, **3946**: 79–103.

Ruvinsky, I. & L. R. Maxson (1996): Phylogenetic relationships among bufonoid frogs (Anura: Neobatrachia) inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences. – Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, **5**: 533–547.

Sant'Anna, A. C., S. B. da Rocha, P. S. Akieda, C. Galvão & M. O. Neves (2017): Distribution extension of *Ameerega berohoka* Vaz-Silva and Maciel, 2011 (Amphibia, Anura, Dendrobatidae): a new state record in the Central-West region of Brazil. – Herpetology Notes, **10**: 41–43.

Santos, J. C., L. A. Coloma & D. C. Cannatella (2003): Multiple, recurring origins of aposematism and diet specialization in poison frogs. – Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA, **100**: 12792–12797.

Santos, J. C., L. A. Coloma, K. Summers, J. P. Caldwell, R. Ree & D. C. Cannatella (2009): Amazonian amphibian diversity is primarily derived from late Miocene Andean lineages. – PLoS BIOLOGY, **73**: 448–461.

Santos, J. C. & D. C. Cannatella (2011): Phenotypic integration emerges from aposematism and scale in poison frogs. – Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA, **108**: 6175–6180.

Santos, J. C., M. M. Baquero Rivadeneira, C. L. Barrio-Amorós, L. A. Coloma, L. Erdtmann, A. P. Lima & D. C. Cannatella. (2014): Aposematism increases acoustic diversification and speciation in poison frogs. – Proceedings of the Royal Society B, **281**: 1–9.

Schulze, A., M. Jansen & G. Köhler (2015): Tadpole diversity of Bolivia's lowland anurans communities: molecular identification, morphological characterization, and ecological assignment. – Zootaxa, **4016**: 1–111.

Serrano-Rojas, S. J., A. Whitworth, J. Villacampa, R. Von May, R. C. Gutierrez, J. M. Padial, & J. C. Chaparro (2017): A new species of poison-dart frog (Anura: Dendrobatidae) from Manu province, Amazon region of southeastern Peru, with notes on its natural history, bioacoustics, phylogenetics, and recommended conservation status. – Zootaxa, **4221**: 71–94.

Simões, P. I., A. P. Lima & I. P. Faria (2010): The description of a cryptic species related to the pan-Amazonian frog *Allobates femoralis* (Boulenger 1883) (Anura: Aromobatidae). – Zootaxa, **2406**: 1–28.

Tamura, K., G. Stecher, D. Peterson, A. Filipski & S. Kumar (2013): MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. – Molecular Biology and Evolution, **30**: 2725–2729.

Teixeira Jr., M., R. C. Amaro, R. S. Recoder, F. D. Vechio & M.T.Rodrigues (2012): A new dwarf species of *Proceratophrys* Miranda-Ribeiro, 1920 (Anura, Cycloramphidae) from the highlands of Chapada Diamantina, Bahia, Brazil. – Zootaxa, **3551**: 25–42.

Teixeira Jr., M., F. dal Vechio, P. M. S. Nunes, A. Mollo-Neto, L. M. Lobo, L. F. Storti, R. A. J. Gaiga, P. H. F. Dias & M. T. Rodrigues (2013): A new species of *Bachia* Gray, 1845 (Squamata: Gymnophthalmidae) from the western Brazilian Amazonia. – Zootaxa, **3636**: 401–420.

Twomey, E. & J. L. Brown (2008): A partial revision of the *Ameerega hahneli* complex (Anura: Dendrobatidae) and a new cryptic species from the East-Andean versant of central Peru. – Zootaxa, **1757**: 49–65.

Vaz-Silva, W. & N. M. Maciel (2011): A new cryptic species of *Ameerega* (Anura: Dendrobatidae) from Brazilian Cerrado. – Zootaxa, **2826**: 57–68.

Vaz-Silva, W., N. M. Maciel, R. P. Bastos & J. P. Pombal Jr. (2015): Revealing two new species of the *Rhinella margaritifera* species group (Anura, Bufonidae): An enigmatic taxonomic group of Neotropical toads. – Herpetologica, **71**: 212–222.

Veiga-Menoncello, A. C., L. B. Lourenco, C. Struessmann, D. C. Rossa-Feres, G. V. Andrade, A. A. Giaretta & S.M. Recco-Pimentel (2014): A phylogenetic analysis of *Pseudopaludicola* (Anura) providing evidence of progressive chromosome reduction. – Zoologica Scripta, **43**: 261–272.

Vences, M., J. Kosuch, S. Lötters, A. Widmer, K. H. Jungfer, J. Köhler & M. Veith (2000): Phylogeny and classification of poison frogs (Amphibia: Dendrobatidae), based on mitochondrial 16S and 12S ribosomal RNA gene sequences. – Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, **15**: 34–40.

Vences, M., J. Kosuch, R. Boistel, C. F. B. Haddad, E. La Marca, S. Lötters & M. Veith (2003): Convergent evolution of aposematic coloration in Neotropical poison frogs: a molecular phylogenetic perspective. – Organisms Diversity & Evolution, **3**: 215–226.

Appendix 1

Material examined in addition to the new species.

Ameerega berohoka: Rio do Peixe, Rio Negro Municipality, Mato Grosso do Sul State, Brazil: ZUFMS-AMP 03763–03786. *Ameerega braccata*: Manso, Chapada dos Guimarães Municipality, Mato Grosso State, Brazil: CZV-UFMT 1478, 1479. *Ameerega flavopicta*: Serra da Canastra, São Roque de Minas Municipality, Minas Gerais State, Brazil: MZUFV 4293, 10325, 10489. *Ameerega hahneli*: Primavera Municipality, Pará State, Brazil: CZV–UFMT 16063, 16068, 16071. *Ameerega macero*: CFBH 26637 Reserva Extrativista Riozinho da Liberdade, Cruzeiro do Sul, Acre. *Ameerega picta*: Estância Mimosa Ecoturismo, Bonito Municipality, Mato Grosso do Sul State, Brazil: ZUFMS-AMP 03787–03808. *Ameerega trivittata*: Rorainópolis municipality, Roraima state, Brazil: MZUFV 12030–12032, 12657, 12658.

Acronyms: CFBH: Celio F. B. Haddad Collection, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Rio Claro/SP, Brazil; CZV-UFMT: Coleção Zoológica de Vertebrados da Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Cuiabá, MT, Brazil; MZUFV: Museu de Zoologia João Moojen, Viçosa, MG, Brazil; UFBA: Museu de Zoologia, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, BA, Brazil; ZUFMS-AMP: Coleção Zoológica da Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil.

Supplementary material

Supplementary document 1. List of sequences from GenBank used in this study (16S rRNA).

Supplementary document 2. Uncorrected p-distances for a 318-bp aligned sequence of the 16S rRNA gene of the new species and 186 samples of other *Ameerega* species taken from GenBank (see Supplementary document 1).

Supplementary document 1. List of sequences from GenBank used in this study (16S rRNA).

Genbank accession number	Species	Reference
KX666098	*Ameerega munduruku*	This study
KX666099	*Ameerega munduruku*	This study
EU517663	*Ameerega altamazonica*	Twomey & Brown 2008
EU517664	*Ameerega altamazonica*	Twomey & Brown 2008
EU517665	*Ameerega altamazonica*	Twomey & Brown 2008
EU517666	*Ameerega altamazonica*	Twomey & Brown 2008
AF128563	*Ameerega bassleri*	Clough & Summers 2000
DQ523043	*Ameerega bassleri*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523045	*Ameerega bassleri*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523060	*Ameerega bassleri*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523070	*Ameerega bassleri*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523073	*Ameerega bassleri*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523080	*Ameerega bassleri*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523083	*Ameerega bassleri*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523085	*Ameerega bassleri*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523087	*Ameerega bassleri*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523088	*Ameerega bassleri*	Grant et al. 2006
EU342609	*Ameerega bassleri*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342610	*Ameerega bassleri*	Santos et al. 2009
FJ752266	*Ameerega bassleri*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752267	*Ameerega bassleri*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752268	*Ameerega bassleri*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752269	*Ameerega bassleri*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752270	*Ameerega bassleri*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752271	*Ameerega bassleri*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752272	*Ameerega bassleri*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752273	*Ameerega bassleri*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752290	*Ameerega bassleri*	Brown & Twomey 2009
HQ891922	*Ameerega berohoka*	Vaz-Silva & Maciel 2011
AF128557	*Ameerega bilinguis*	Clough & Summers 2000
AY364571	*Ameerega bilinguis*	Santos et al. 2003
DQ502073	*Ameerega bilinguis*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502095	*Ameerega bilinguis*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502225	*Ameerega bilinguis*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523074	*Ameerega bilinguis*	Grant et al. 2006
EU342612	*Ameerega bilinguis*	Santos et al. 2009
HQ290996	*Ameerega bilinguis*	Santos & Cannatella 2011
FJ546420	*Ameerega boehmei*	Lötters et al 2009
FJ546421	*Ameerega boehmei*	Lötters et al 2009
FJ546422	*Ameerega boehmei*	Lötters et al 2009
DQ502125	*Ameerega braccata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523053	*Ameerega cainarachi*	Grant et al. 2006
EU342620	*Ameerega cainarachi*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342621	*Ameerega cainarachi*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342624	*Ameerega* cf. *petersi*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342625	*Ameerega* cf. *petersi*	Santos et al. 2009
KJ940458	*Ameerega erythromos*	Santos et al. 2014
DQ502124	*Ameerega flavopicta*	Grant et al. 2006
AY263247	*Ameerega hahneli*	Vences et al. 2003
AY364573	*Ameerega hahneli*	Santos et al. 2003
DQ501991	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ501996	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ501997	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502077	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502081	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502083	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502084	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502085	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502086	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502087	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502226	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502270	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523022	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523026	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523027	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523032	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523033	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523034	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523037	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523038	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523041	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523049	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523056	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523061	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523063	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523064	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523075	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523078	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523079	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523081	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523086	*Ameerega hahneli*	Grant et al. 2006
EU342614	*Ameerega hahneli*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342615	*Ameerega hahneli*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342618	*Ameerega hahneli*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342619	*Ameerega hahneli*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342622	*Ameerega hahneli*	Santos et al. 2009
HQ290998	*Ameerega hahneli*	Santos & Cannatella 2011
FJ752291	*Ameerega ignipedis*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752292	*Ameerega ignipedis*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752293	*Ameerega ignipedis*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752294	*Ameerega ignipedis*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752295	*Ameerega ignipedis*	Brown & Twomey 2009
DQ502155	*Ameerega macero*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523039	*Ameerega macero*	Grant et al. 2006

Genbank accession number	Species	Reference
DQ523089	*Ameerega macero*	Grant et al. 2006
EU525852	*Ameerega macero*	Twomey & Brown 2008
AF124125	*Ameerega parvula*	Vences et al. 2000
AY364574	*Ameerega parvula*	Santos et al. 2003
HQ290999	*Ameerega parvula*	Santos & Cannatella 2011
FJ752280	*Ameerega pepperi*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752281	*Ameerega pepperi*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752282	*Ameerega pepperi*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752283	*Ameerega pepperi*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752284	*Ameerega pepperi*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752285	*Ameerega pepperi*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752286	*Ameerega pepperi*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752287	*Ameerega pepperi*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752288	*Ameerega pepperi*	Brown & Twomey 2009
DQ502114	*Ameerega petersi*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502116	*Ameerega petersi*	Grant et al. 2006
FJ752301	*Ameerega petersi*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752306	*Ameerega petersi*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752307	*Ameerega petersi*	Brown & Twomey 2009
AF124126	*Ameerega picta*	Vences et al. 2000
AF128566	*Ameerega picta*	Clough & Summers 2000
DQ502252	*Ameerega picta*	Grant et al. 2006
EU342613	*Ameerega picta*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342616	*Ameerega picta*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342617	*Ameerega picta*	Santos et al. 2009
JF790154	*Ameerega picta*	Jansen et al. 2011
KF723022	*Ameerega picta*	Schulze et al. 2015
KF723023	*Ameerega picta*	Schulze et al. 2015
KJ940455	*Ameerega picta*	Santos et al. 2014
DQ523044	*Ameerega pongoensis*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523076	*Ameerega pongoensis*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502033	*Ameerega pulchripecta*	Grant et al. 2006
AF282247	*Ameerega rubriventris*	Loetters & Vences 2000
EU342623	*Ameerega rubriventris*	Santos et al. 2009
EU517667	*Ameerega rubriventris*	Twomey & Brown 2008
EU517668	*Ameerega rubriventris*	Twomey & Brown 2008
KX898435	*Ameerega shihuemoy*	Serrano-Rojas et al. 2017
KX898436	*Ameerega shihuemoy*	Serrano-Rojas et al. 2017
KX898437	*Ameerega shihuemoy*	Serrano-Rojas et al. 2017
AF124137	*Ameerega silverstonei*	Clough & Summers 2000
DQ283073	*Ameerega silverstonei*	Frost et al. 2006
DQ523084	*Ameerega silverstonei*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523090	*Ameerega simulans*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523042	*Ameerega smaragdina*	Grant et al. 2006
EU517670	*Ameerega smaragdina*	Twomey & Brown 2008
FJ752296	*Ameerega smaragdina*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752297	*Ameerega smaragdina*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752298	*Ameerega smaragdina*	Brown & Twomey 2009
AF124128	*Ameerega trivittata*	Vences et al. 2000
AF128569	*Ameerega trivittata*	Clough & Summers 2000
DQ502021	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502023	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502079	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502082	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502111	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502112	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502147	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502148	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502219	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502227	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502250	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ502267	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523021	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523028	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523029	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523030	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523031	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523035	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523036	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523046	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523047	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523050	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523052	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523054	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523058	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523065	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523066	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523068	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523071	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
DQ523077	*Ameerega trivittata*	Grant et al. 2006
EU342626	*Ameerega trivittata*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342627	*Ameerega trivittata*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342628	*Ameerega trivittata*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342630	*Ameerega trivittata*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342631	*Ameerega trivittata*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342632	*Ameerega trivittata*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342633	*Ameerega trivittata*	Santos et al. 2009
EU342634	*Ameerega trivittata*	Santos et al. 2009
HQ291002	*Ameerega trivittata*	Santos & Cannatella 2011
FJ752274	*Ameerega yoshina*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752275	*Ameerega yoshina*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752276	*Ameerega yoshina*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752277	*Ameerega yoshina*	Brown & Twomey 2009
FJ752278	*Ameerega yoshina*	Brown & Twomey 2009