

Species identification is a crucial component of biodiversity research and conservation (Delić et al. 2017; Lyra et al. 2017; Sheth & Thaker 2017). To this end, DNA barcoding has become a widely used molecular technique for identifying species. This approach relies on sequencing a standardized fragment of DNA that can be compared to reference databases to accurately identify species (Gehara et al. 2013; Koroiva & Santana 2022). DNA barcoding has also proven to be effective in delimiting species, and it has been applied across a wide range of taxa, including amphibians (Jansen et al. 2011; Koroiva et al. 2020; Koroiva & Santana 2022).

Delimitation and identification of amphibians using robust methods is paramount, given that they are the most threatened group of terrestrial vertebrates worldwide (Howard & Bickford 2014; Cox et al. 2022; Toledo et al. 2023). Many species of amphibians are being classified under the IUCN Red List categories at the same time they are being formally named (Brasileiro et al. 2007; Caramaschi & Cruz 2011; Assis et al. 2013). Atlantic Forest, a biodiversity hotspot (Myers et al. 2000; Ribeiro et al. 2011; Zachos & Habel 2011), harbors more than 625 amphibian species, 77% of them endemic, and many with very narrow distributions (Rossa-Feres et al. 2017). Since the arrival of the first European colonizers in the early 16th century, the Atlantic Forest has lost most of its original cover, and the remaining is heavily fragmented (Ribeiro et al. 2009, 2011). In Brazil, habitat loss is the main threat to amphibians living in this rainforest (ICMBio 2018); 41 species are in peril, and two are already declared extinct (Ministério do Meio Ambiente 2022). The Atlantic Forest is also the region with the highest amphibian population declines reported worldwide (Toledo et al. 2023). Therefore, identifying and describing the amphibian diversity of the Atlantic Forest is crucial for its conservation and for developing targeted conservation strategies.

The landscape of the southeastern portion of the Atlantic Forest includes many mountain ranges that are considered cradles of amphibian diversity (Leite et al. 2008; Neves et al. 2018; Silva et al. 2018). These mountain chains harbor most of the endemic amphibian species from the Atlantic Forest (Guedes et al. 2020), and many are threatened (Pontes & Guidorizzi 2023). One such species is the Hatchet-faced Canga Lime Treefrog, *Sphaenorhynchus canga*, first described in 2015 (Araujo-Vieira et al. 2015) and known only from a small area in the southern portion of the Espinhaço Mountain range in Minas Gerais (Silveira et al. 2020). The species is classified by the Brazilian Ministry of Environment as Critically Endangered (Ministério do Meio Ambiente

2022; Pontes & Guidorizzi 2023).

During field expeditions in the northern portion of the Mantiqueira Mountain range in southern Minas Gerais in December 2015, January 2020, and November 2021, a series of specimens of *Sphaenorhynchus* were collected. We collected five adult male specimens during visual and acoustic searches in one pond in the countryside of Bom Jardim de Minas, Minas Gerais (-22.004, -44.180; 1,210 m; datum = SAD69). Specimens were euthanized in a 2% lidocaine chlorhydrate solution (MCTIC 2018), fixed in 10% formalin, and preserved in 70% alcohol. Prior to fixation, we collected tissue samples (muscle and liver) and stored them in cryotubes filled with 100% ethanol. Voucher specimens and tissues were deposited in the Coleção de Anfíbios da Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (CAUFJF), Juiz de Fora municipality, Minas Gerais, and in the Coleção Zoológica da Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul (ZUFMS-AMP), Campo Grande municipality, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. Collection permits for this study were issued by ICMBIO (SISBio 73975-1 and 72874-1).

DNA was extracted using the QIAGEN DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Valencia, California, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Next, a fragment of the mitochondrial 16S gene was amplified using primers 16Sar and 16Sbr (Palumbi et al. 2002). The PCR protocol was configured with one initial phase of 94°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 20s, 50°C for 20s, 72°C for 60s, and a final extension phase of 72°C for 5 min. Purification of PCR products and sequencing were performed by Eurofins Genomics Inc. (Louisville, Kentucky, USA). Comparable 16S sequences of *Sphaenorhynchus* from GenBank and one sequence of *Scinax fuscovarius* to use as an outgroup were downloaded (Supplementary Table 1). All 16S mtDNA gene fragments were aligned using the MAFFT algorithm (Kato & Toh 2008) in Geneious v9.0.5 with default settings. The final dataset comprised 53 sequences of a 515 base-pair (bp) fragment of the 16S gene. A maximum likelihood tree was inferred in RAxML (Stamatakis 2014) via raxmlGUI 2.0 (Edler et al. 2021). The analysis was conducted using a ML + rapid bootstrap setting with a GTR+I+G substitution model and 1,000 bootstrap replicates. The appropriate substitution model was confirmed with Modeltest (Darriba et al. 2020) in raxmlGUI 2.0. Additionally, PTP and bPTP species delimitation analyses were conducted (Zhang et al. 2013) using the ML Tree. Calculations were performed on PTP webserver (<http://species.h-its.org/ptp/>) with 500,000 MCMC generations, thinning set at 100, and burn-in at 10%. In addition, we performed the

delimitation method Assemble Species by Automatic Partitioning (ASAP) on the online server (<https://bioinfo.mnhn.fr/abi/public/asap/asapweb.html>) using a simple distance model to compute distances between samples and default parameters (Puillandre et al. 2021). To explore relationships among mtDNA haplotypes, we estimated a 16S haplotype network among species closely related to *S. canga*—*S. botocudo*, *S. cammaeus*, *S. caramaschii*, *S. platycephalus*, and *S. surdus* (Pereira et al. 2022)—in POPART (Leigh & Bryant 2015) using the median-joining network method. We depict each species using different colors in the haplotype network. Lastly, we calculated sequence divergence (uncorrected *p*-distance) among species/individuals using MEGA v10.1.1 (Kumar et al. 2018).

We identified the *Sphaenorhynchus* from Bom Jardim de Minas as *Sphaenorhynchus canga* (Image 1). Our maximum likelihood tree (Figure 1) of the mitochondrial 16S gene confidently (bootstrap = 0.98) placed the sequenced specimens with *Sphaenorhynchus canga*, sister to a clade formed by *S. botocudo* and *S. surdus*. The three species delimitation methods we used yielded the same results, recovering one evolutionary entity for each known species (Figure 1). All three analyses confidently recovered all populations of *Sphaenorhynchus canga* as a single evolutionary lineage. Our haplotype network (Figure 2) shows a clear separation between all species of *Sphaenorhynchus*. The genetic distance between *S. canga* from Bom Jardim de Minas and *S. canga* from the type locality was 0.4% (Supplementary Table 2). Overall, the morphology of *S. canga* from Bom Jardim de Minas also have the standard diagnosis of the species presented in its original description, such as the lack of tympanic membrane, the snout protruding in profile, the presence of a canthal white line, a dorsolateral white line from the eye to sacral region, and a dorsolateral black line from the tip of snout extending beyond the eye and disappearing up to the flanks (Araujo-Vieira et al. 2015). The newly discovered population of *S. canga* in Bom Jardim de Minas extends the distribution of the species by about 200 km southward to a different mountain range, Serra da Mantiqueira (Image 2).

The distribution of *S. canga* in both the southern Espinhaço and the northern Mantiqueira mountain ranges is a pattern observed in other anuran species as well, including *Bokermannohyla feioi*, *Pithecopus ayeaye*, *Physalaemus maximus*, and *Scinax tripui* (Baêta et al. 2007; Magalhães et al. 2017; Silveira et al. 2019; Brunes et al. 2023). This shared distribution pattern has led biogeographers to hypothesize a historical connection between the Espinhaço and Mantiqueira



Image 1. Adult males of *Sphaenorhynchus canga* documented in Bom Jardim de Minas, Minas Gerais, Brazil. A—MAP6807 collected on 1 November 2021 | B—C—unvouchered specimens photographed on 9 December 2015. © A—DJ Santana, B&C—EA Pereira.

mountain ranges (Magalhães et al. 2017; Neves et al. 2018; Brunes et al. 2023). The discovery of *S. canga* in the Mantiqueira Mountains adds additional support for a historical connection between these mountain ranges and increases the potential area where this species could occur, particularly in protected areas in the northern portion of Serra da Mantiqueira.

While the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species classifies this species as of Least Concern (IUCN & Boitató 2023),




Figure 1. Maximum likelihood tree for *Sphaenorhynchus* based on the mitochondrial 16S gene. Nodes are labeled with Bootstrap values. Vertical grey bars on the right represent each evolutionary entity delimited by ASAP (Assemble Species by Automatic Partitioning), PTP (Poisson Tree Process), and bPTP (Bayesian Poisson Tree Process).

the latest update of the Brazilian Red List, which is based on IUCN criteria, classifies *Sphaenorhynchus canga* as Critically Endangered (Pontes & Guidorizzi 2023) due to its limited geographic distribution and restriction to ponds in ironstone outcrops, a habitat severely impacted by mining (Bastos et al. 2022). The IUCN assessment states that ‘there are no ongoing major threats, the species is a habitat generalist occurring even in modified areas, and it is presumed to have a large and stable population’ (IUCN & Boitá 2023). However, mining activity poses a significant threat to *S. canga*. Five out of six ponds where the type series was collected are influenced by mining activities (Pena et al. 2017). Mining activity has resulted in a continuous decline in both the area and quality of *S. canga*’s habitat due to the suppression of ironstone outcrops and vegetation (Bastos et al. 2022). Recent surveys have had some success in finding the species in additional localities and habitats, including perennial small dams and anthropogenic swamps inside or on the edge of semi-deciduous seasonal forests, suggesting that it may have some degree of ecological plasticity;

nonetheless, the species’ spatial extent has only slightly increased because of these discoveries (Silveira et al. 2020). The discovery of *S. canga* in Bom Jardim de Minas is an important contribution to the conservation of this species, as research on its geographic distribution is among the main priorities (Bastos et al. 2022). Although our discovery has increased the species’ distribution by more than 200 km (straight-line distance), it is important to note that it is still restricted to high-elevation areas, reproducing in ponds (Araujo-Vieira et al. 2015; Silveira et al. 2020). Furthermore, no known populations of the species occur in protected areas (Bastos et al. 2022; this study).

While this discovery provides a glimmer of hope for the species, it is essential to note that the new population was found adjacent to a dirt road and in areas designated for cattle ranching, where vegetation around marshes is typically burned annually by local farmers. Moreover, the region is experiencing an increase in real estate speculation for allotments, and a proposal is currently under consideration for the installation




Image 2. Brazil state and elevation map showing the known geographic distribution of *Sphaenorhynchus canga* in the Espinhaço and Mantiqueira mountain ranges. State abbreviations: MG—Minas Gerais | RJ—Rio de Janeiro.




Figure 2. Median-joining haplotype network of *Sphaenorhynchus canga* and closely related species based on 16S mtDNA. Each haplotype is represented by a circle whose area is proportional to its frequency. Traits indicate additional mutational steps for branches with more than one mutation. Different colors indicate species-level units. The black dots are median vectors (hypothesized sequences).

of a hydroelectric power plant at the Pacau waterfall (Cachoeira do Pacau), just 5 km from the discovered population. Therefore, future visits to the locality are of utmost importance to monitor this population and to search for additional areas where the species may be present. A reevaluation of the conservation status of *S. canga* based on this discovery is beyond the scope of this work. Nonetheless, it is evident that this finding underscores the urgent need for further research, conservation measures, and advocacy efforts to ensure the survival of this critically endangered species.

REFERENCES

- Araujo-Vieira, K., J.V.A. Lacerda, T.L. Pezzuti, F.S.F. Leite, C.L. Assis & C.A.G. Cruz (2015). A new species of Hatchet-faced Treefrog *Sphaenorhynchus* Tschudi (Anura: Hylidae) from Quadrilátero Ferrífero, Minas Gerais, southeastern Brazil. *Zootaxa* 4059: 96–114. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4059.1.5>
- Araujo-Vieira, K., B.L. Blotto, U. Caramaschi, C.F.B. Haddad, J. Faivovich & T. Grant (2019). A total evidence analysis of the phylogeny of hatchet-faced treefrogs (Anura: Hylidae: *Sphaenorhynchus*). *Cladistics* 35(5): 469–486. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12367>
- Assis, C.L., D.J. Santana, F.A. Silva, F.M. Quintela & R.N. Feio (2013). A new and possibly critically endangered species of casque-headed tree frog *Aparasphenodon* Miranda-Ribeiro, 1920 (Anura, Hylidae) from southeastern Brazil. *Zootaxa* 3716: 583–591. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3716.4.6>
- Baêta, D., A.C.C. Lourenço, T.L. Pezzuti & M.R.S. Pires (2007). The tadpole, advertisement call, and geographic distribution of *Physalaemus maximus* Feio, Pombal & Caramaschi, 1999 (Amphibia, Anura, Leiuperidae). *Arquivos do Museu Nacional* 65: 27–32.
- Bastos, R.P., M.R. Martins, Y.S.L. Bataus, L.G. Côrtes, V.M. Uhlig, A.P.L. Almeida, C.C. Canedo, U. Caramaschi, C.O.R. Costa, L. Ferrante, T.B. Ferreira, P.C.A. Garcia, J.L. Gasparini, F. Hepp, R.L. Moraes, F.S.F. Leite, I.A. Martins, L.B. Nascimento, D.J. Santana, I.S. Nunes, T. Silva-Soares & L.F. Toledo (2022). Avaliação do risco de extinção: *Sphaenorhynchus canga* Araujo-Vieira, Lacerda, Pezzuti, Leite, Assis & Cruz. <https://salve.icmbio.gov.br>. Electronic version accessed 6 March 2023.
- Brasileiro, C.A., C.F.B. Haddad, R.J. Sawaya & I. Sazima (2007). A new and threatened island-dwelling species of *Cycloramphus* (Anura: Cycloramphidae) from southeastern Brazil. *Herpetologica* 63(4):

- 501–510. [https://doi.org/10.1655/0018-0831\(2007\)63\[501:ANAT1S\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1655/0018-0831(2007)63[501:ANAT1S]2.0.CO;2)
- Brunes, T.O., F.C.S. Pinto, P.P.G. Taucce, M.T.T. Santos, L.B. Nascimento, D.C. Carvalho, G. Oliveira, S. Vasconcelos & F.S.F. Leite (2023). Traditional taxonomy underestimates the number of species of *Bokermannohyla* (Amphibia: Anura: Hylidae) diverging in the mountains of southeastern Brazil since the Miocene. *Systematics and Biodiversity* 21: 2156001. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2022.2156001>
- Caramaschi, U. & C.A.G. Cruz (2011). A new, possibly threatened species of *Melanophryniscus* Gallardo, 1961 from the state of Minas Gerais, southeastern Brazil (Amphibia, Anura, Bufonidae). *Boletim do Museu Nacional* 528: 1–9.
- Cox, N., B.E. Young, P. Bowles, M. Fernandez, J. Marin, G. Rapacciolo, M. Böhm, T.M. Brooks, S.B. Hedges, C. Hilton-Taylor, M. Hoffmann, R.K.B. Jenkins, M.F. Tognelli, G.J. Alexander, A. Allison, N.B. Ananjeva, M. Auliya, L.J. Avila, D.G. Chapple, D.F. Cisneros-Heredia, H.G. Cogger, G.R. Colli, A. Silva, C.C. Eiseberg, J. Els, G.A. Fong, T.D. Grant, R.A. Hitchmough, D.T. Iskandar, N. Kidera, M. Martins, S. Meiri, N.J. Mitchell, S. Molur, C.C. Nogueira, J.C. Ortiz, J. Penner, A.G.J. Rhodin, G.A. Rivas, M.O. Rödel, U. Roll, K.L. Sanders, G. Santos-Barrera, G.M. Shea, S. Spawls, B.L. Stuart, K.A. Tolley, J.F. Trape, M.A. Vidal, P. Wagner, B.P. Wallace & Y. Xie. (2022). A global reptile assessment highlights shared conservation needs of tetrapods. *Nature* 605: 285–290. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04664-7>
- Darriba, D., D. Posada, A.M. Kozlov, A. Stamatakis, B. Morel & T. Flouri (2020). ModelTest-NG: A New and Scalable Tool for the Selection of DNA and Protein Evolutionary Models. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 37(1): 291–294. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz189>
- Delić, T., P. Trontelj, M. Rendoš & C. Fišer (2017). The importance of naming cryptic species and the conservation of endemic subterranean amphibians. *Scientific Reports* 7: 3391. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02938-z>
- Eidler, D., J. Klein, A. Antonelli & D. Silvestro (2021). raxmlGUI 2.0: A graphical interface and toolkit for phylogenetic analyses using RAxML. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 12: 373–377. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13512>
- Faivovich, J., C.F.B. Haddad, P.C.A. Garcia, D.R. Frost, J.A. Campbell & W.C. Wheeler (2005). Systematic review of the frog family Hylidae, with special reference to Hylinae: phylogenetic analysis and taxonomic revision. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 2005(294): 1–240.
- Gehara, M., C. Canedo, C.F.B. Haddad & M. Vences (2013). From widespread to microendemic: molecular and acoustic analyses show that *Ischnocnema guentheri* (Amphibia: Brachycephalidae) is endemic to Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Conservation Genetics* 14: 973–982. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-013-0488-5>
- Guedes, T.B., J.A.R. Azevedo, C.D. Bacon, D.B. Provete & A. Antonelli (2020). Diversity, endemism, and evolutionary history of montane biotas outside the andean region, pp. 299–328. In: Rull, V. & A.C. Carnaval (eds.). *Neotropical Diversification: Patterns and Processes. Fascinating Life Sciences*. Springer Cham, X + 820 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-31167-4>
- Haddad, C.F.B., L.F. Toledo, C.P.A. Prado, D. Loebmann, J.L. Gasparini & I. Sazima (2013). Guia dos Anfíbios da Mata Atlântica: Diversidade e Biologia/Guide to the Amphibians of the Atlantic Forest: Diversity and Biology. *Anolis Books*, São Paulo, 544 pp.
- Howard, S.D. & D.P. Bickford (2014). Amphibians over the edge: silent extinction risk of Data Deficient species. *Diversity and Distributions* 20: 837–846. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12218>
- ICMbio - Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade (2018). *Livro Vermelho da Fauna Brasileira Ameaçada de Extinção: Volume V – Anfíbios*. Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade, Ministério do Meio Ambiente, Brasília.
- IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group & Instituto Boitatá de Etnobiologia e Conservação da Fauna (2023). *Sphaenorhynchus canga*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2023: e.T89255741A172241454. <https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2023-1.RLTS.T89255741A172241454.en>. Electronic version accessed 15 April 2024.
- Jansen, M., R. Bloch, A. Schulze & M. Pfenninger (2011). Integrative inventory of Bolivia's lowland anurans reveals hidden diversity. *Zoologica Scripta* 40(6): 567–583. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.2011.00498.x>
- Katoh, K. & H. Toh (2008). Recent developments in the MAFFT multiple sequence alignment program. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 9: 286–298.
- Koroiva, R., L.R.R. Rodrigues & D.J. Santana (2020). DNA barcoding for identification of anuran species in the central region of South America. *PeerJ* 8: e10189. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.10189>
- Koroiva, R. & D.J. Santana (2022). Evaluation of partial 12S rRNA, 16S rRNA, COI and Cytb gene sequence datasets for potential single DNA barcode for hylids (Anura: Hylidae). *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências* 94(4): e20200825. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0001-37652022020200825>
- Kumar, S., G. Stecher, M. Li, C. Knyaz & K. Tamura (2018). MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35(6): 1547–1549. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096>
- Leigh, J.W. & D. Bryant (2015). popart: full-feature software for haplotype network construction. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 6: 1110–1116. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12410>
- Leite, F.S.F., F.A. Juncá & P.C. Eterovick (2008). Status do conhecimento, endemismo e conservação de anfíbios anuros da Cadeia do Espinhaço, Brasil. *Megadiversidade* 4: 158–176.
- Lyra, M.L., C.F.B. Haddad & A.M.L. Azeredo-Espin (2017). Meeting the challenge of DNA barcoding Neotropical amphibians: polymerase chain reaction optimization and new COI primers. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 17(5): 966–980. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12648>
- Magalhães, R.F., P. Lemes, A. Camargo, U. Oliveira, R.A. Brandão, H. Thomassen, P.C.A. Garcia, F.S.F. Leite & F.R. Santos (2017). Evolutionarily significant units of the critically endangered leaf frog *Pithecopus ayeaye* (Anura, Phyllomedusidae) are not effectively preserved by the Brazilian protected areas network. *Ecology and Evolution* 7: 8812–8828. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3261>
- MCTIC (2018). Resolução Normativa nº 37, de 15 de fevereiro de 2018. Baixa a Diretriz da Prática de Eutanásia do Conselho Nacional de Controle de Experimentação Animal - Concea. Diário Oficial da União 36: 5.
- Ministério do Meio Ambiente (2022). Portaria MMA nº 148, de 7 de junho de 2022. Altera os Anexos da Portaria nº 443, de 17 de dezembro de 2014, da Portaria nº 444, de 17 de dezembro de 2014, e da Portaria nº 445, de 17 de dezembro de 2014, referentes à atualização da Nacional de Espécies Am. *Diário Oficial da União* 108: 74–104.
- Neves, M.O., E.A. Pereira, J.L.M.M. Sugai, S.B. Rocha, R.N. Feio & D.J. Santana (2018). Distribution pattern of anurans from three mountain complexes in southeastern Brazil and their conservation implications. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências* 90(2): 1611–1623. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0001-3765201820170203>
- Palumbi, S., A. Martin, S. Romano, W.O. McMillan, L. Stice & G. Grabowski (2002). The simple fool's guide to PCR. Version 2.0. University of Hawaii, Honolulu, 46 pp.
- Pena, J.C.C., F. Goulart, G.W. Fernandes, D. Hoffmann, F.S.F. Leite, N.B. Santos, B. Soares-Filho, T. Sobral-Souza, M.H. Vancine & M. Rodrigues (2017). Impacts of mining activities on the potential geographic distribution of eastern Brazil mountain top endemic species. *Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation* 15(3): 172–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecon.2017.07.005>
- Pereira, E.A., K. Ceron, H.R. Silva & D.J. Santana (2022). The dispersal between Amazonia and Atlantic Forest during the Early Neogene revealed by the biogeography of the treefrog tribe Sphaenorhynchini (Anura, Hylidae). *Ecology and Evolution* 12(4): e8754. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8754>

- Pontes, M.R. & C.E. Guidorizzi (2023). Lista Nacional de Espécies Ameaçadas de Extinção: atualizações para os anfíbios brasileiros. *Herpetologia Brasileira* 11: 12–20.
- Puillandre, N., S. Brouillet & G. Achaz (2021). ASAP: assemble species by automatic partitioning. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 21(2): 609–620. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13281>
- Ribeiro, M.C., J.P. Metzger, A.C. Martensen, F.J. Ponzoni & M.M. Hirota (2009). The Brazilian Atlantic Forest: How much is left, and how is the remaining forest distributed? Implications for conservation. *Biological Conservation* 142(6): 1141–1153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2009.02.021>
- Ribeiro, M.C., A.C. Martensen, J.P. Metzger, M. Tabarelli, F. Scarano & M.J. Fortin (2011). The Brazilian Atlantic Forest: A Shrinking Biodiversity Hotspot. In: Zachos, F.E. & J.C. Habel (eds.). *Biodiversity Hotspots. Distribution and Protection of Conservation Priority Areas*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, xvii + 546 pp.
- Rossa-Feres, D.C., M.V. Garey, U. Caramaschi, M.F. Napoli, F. Nomura, A.A. Bispo, C.A. Brasileiro, M.T.C. Thomé, R.J. Sawaya, C.E. Conte, C.A.G. Cruz, L.B. Nascimento, J.L. Gasparini, A.P. Almeida & C.F.B. Haddad (2017). Anfíbios da Mata Atlântica: lista de espécies, histórico dos estudos, biologia e conservação. In: Monteiro-Filho, E.L.A. & C.E. Conte (eds.). *Revisões em Zoologia: Mata Atlântica*. Eitora UFPR, Curitiba, 489 pp.
- Sheth, B.P. & V.S. Thaker (2017). DNA barcoding and traditional taxonomy: an integrated approach for biodiversity conservation. *Genome* 60(7): 618–628. <https://doi.org/10.1139/gen-2015-0167>
- Silva, E.T.D., M.A. Peixoto, F.S.F. Leite, R.N. Feio & P.C.A. Garcia (2018). Anuran distribution in a highly diverse region of the Atlantic Forest: the Mantiqueira mountain range in southeastern Brazil. *Herpetologica* 74(4): 294–305. <https://doi.org/10.1655/0018-0831.294>
- Silveira, A.L., L.S.V.B. Ribeiro, T.T. Dornas & T.N. Fernandes (2019). Novos registros geográficos, variação morfológica e notas de história natural de *Scinax tripui* (Amphibia, Anura, Hylidae) na Mata Atlântica de Minas Gerais (Brasil). *Revista Brasileira de Zootecias* 20: 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.34019/2596-3325.2019.v20.27094>
- Silveira, A.L., L.S.V.B. Ribeiro, T.T. Dornas & T.N. Fernandes (2020). Novos registros de *Sphaenorhynchus canga* (Amphibia, Anura, Hylidae) no Quadrilátero Ferrífero em Minas Gerais, Sudeste do Brasil. *Neotropical Biology Conservation* 15(1): 19–28. <https://doi.org/10.3897/neotropical.15.e48718>
- Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30(9): 1312–1313. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033>
- Toledo, L.F., S.P. Carvalho-e-Silva, A.M.P.T. Carvalho-e-Silva, J.L. Gasparini, D. Baêta, R. Rebouças, C.F.B. Haddad, G.G. Becker & T. Carvalho (2023). A retrospective overview of amphibian declines in Brazil's Atlantic Forest. *Biological Conservation* 277: 109845. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2022.109845>
- Zachos, F.E. & J.C. Habel (2011). *Biodiversity Hotspots: Distribution and Protection of Conservation Priority Areas*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, XVII + 546 pp.
- Zhang, J., P. Kapli, P. Pavlidis & A. Stamatakis (2013). A general species delimitation method with applications to phylogenetic placements. *Bioinformatics* 29(22): 2869–2876. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt499>

Author details: DJS is a professor in the Zoology Laboratory at the Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul (UFMS). He is a zoologist with emphasis in herpetology, working with frogs and reptiles, focusing on topics such as natural history, phylogenetics, phylogeography, and biogeography. AY is currently a PhD student at the Universidade Federal do Paraná. He is an ecologist working on neotropical reptiles and amphibians, with focus on subjects such as adaptive evolution, landscape genetics and natural history. EAP is a postdoctoral researcher in the Laboratory of Natural History of Brazilian Amphibians at the State University of Campinas (UNICAMP). He is a zoologist with an emphasis on herpetology, working with amphibians, focusing on topics such as systematics, phylogenetics, phylogeography, biogeography and conservation. PSC is a postdoctoral researcher in the Mapiungari Lab at Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul (UFMS). She is a zoologist with an emphasis on reptiles, working with systematics, phylogenetics, phylogeography, biogeography and conservation. LMCL is a zoologist who has worked on natural history and distribution of reptiles and amphibians in Brazil. Currently, he directs a private protection area, supporting initiatives of research, conservation and sustainable tourism. HCC is a professor at Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora, Brazil, researching biogeography, natural history, and taxonomy of amphibians and reptiles. DBS is a Teaching Associate Professor in the Department of Biological Sciences at the University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas, USA. His research employs molecular methods and geospatial tools to examine patterns of genetic variation, identify cryptic diversity, and understand the processes that drive diversification of amphibians and reptiles.

Author contributions: Field work: AY, DJS, EAP, HCC, LMCL, PSC; data analysis: DJS, SBS, PSC; writing: all authors; revisions: all authors.

Acknowledgments: We thank Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG process APQ-02302-21) and the Institutional Program of Internationalization sponsored by Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (Capes-Print 41/2017 – Process 88881.311897/2018–01) for financial support. DJS thanks CNPq for his research fellowships (CNPq 309420/2020-2; CNPq 402012/2022-4). Lab work and DNA sequencing were supported by Dr. Johnny Armstrong and the Louisiana Tech University School of Biological Sciences. Collections were authorized by the System of Authorization and Information in Biodiversity, Ministry of the Environment (license SISBIO 45889).

Supplementary Table 1. GenBank accession numbers for mitochondrial 16S gene sequences of specimens of *Sphaenorhynchini* (*Sphaenorhynchus* and *Gabohyla*) and the outgroup *Scinax fuscovarius* included in the molecular analyses.

Species	Genbank accession number	Reference
<i>S. botocudo</i>	KY418014	Roberto et al. (2017)
<i>S. botocudo</i>	MK266722	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. botocudo</i>	MK266723	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. botocudo</i>	MK266724	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. botocudo</i>	MK266725	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. cammaeus</i>	KY418013	Roberto et al. (2017)
<i>S. cammaeus</i>	MK266726	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. cammaeus</i>	MK266727	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. canga</i>	KY418015	Roberto et al. (2017)
<i>S. canga</i>	HCC193	Present Work
<i>S. canga</i>	HCC194	Present Work
<i>S. canga</i>	MAP6807	Present Work
<i>S. canga</i>	MK266728	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. caramaschii</i>	KP096219	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2015)
<i>S. caramaschii</i>	KP096220	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2015)
<i>S. caramaschii</i>	MK266729	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. caramaschii</i>	MK266730	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. caramaschii</i>	MK266731	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. caramaschii</i>	MK266732	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. caramaschii</i>	MK266733	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. caramaschii</i>	MK266734	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. caramaschii</i>	MK266735	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. caramaschii</i>	MK266736	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. caramaschii</i>	MK266737	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. caramaschii</i>	MK266738	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. caramaschii</i>	MK266739	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. carneus</i>	MK266740	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)

Species	Genbank accession number	Reference
<i>S. carneus</i>	MK266741	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. dorisae</i>	AY843766	Faivovich et al. (2005)
<i>S. dorisae</i>	MK266742	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. lacteus</i>	AY549367	Faivovich et al. (2004)
<i>S. lacteus</i>	JF790143	Jansen et al. (2011)
<i>S. lacteus</i>	JF790144	Jansen et al. (2011)
<i>S. lacteus</i>	MK266743	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. lacteus</i>	MK266744	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. mirim</i>	MK266745	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>G. pauloalvini</i>	MK266747	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>G. pauloalvini</i>	MK266748	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>G. pauloalvini</i>	MK266749	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>G. pauloalvini</i>	MK266750	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>G. pauloalvini</i>	MT503969	Orrico et al. (2021)
<i>S. planicola</i>	MK266751	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. platycephalus</i>	KY418016	Roberto et al. (2017)
<i>S. platycephalus</i>	MK266746	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. prasinus</i>	MK266752	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. prasinus</i>	MK266753	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. prasinus</i>	MK266754	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. surdus</i>	KY418017	Roberto et al. (2017)
<i>S. surdus</i>	MK266755	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. surdus</i>	MK266756	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. surdus</i>	MK266757	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>S. surdus</i>	MK266758	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)
<i>Scinax fuscovarius</i>	MK266760	Araujo-Vieira et al. (2019)

Supplementary Table 2. Average uncorrected (*p*-distance) sequence divergence between different species of *Sphaenorhynchus*. Values in bold along the diagonal are intraspecific divergences. n/c= not calculated.

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1	<i>S. botocudo</i>	0.004												
2	<i>S. cammaeus</i>	0.067	0.000											
3	<i>S. canga</i>	0.039	0.055	0.004										
4	<i>S. caramaschi</i>	0.073	0.073	0.059	0.006									
5	<i>S. carneus</i>	0.151	0.140	0.143	0.137	0.004								
6	<i>S. dorisae</i>	0.133	0.140	0.121	0.137	0.167	0.002							
7	<i>S. lacteus</i>	0.107	0.102	0.106	0.099	0.137	0.090	0.005						
8	<i>S. mirim</i>	0.166	0.157	0.149	0.156	0.183	0.126	0.127	n/c					
9	<i>S. platycephalus</i>	0.053	0.054	0.032	0.060	0.140	0.132	0.102	0.135	0.000				
10	<i>S. pauloalvini</i>	0.114	0.092	0.107	0.097	0.130	0.128	0.107	0.149	0.096	0.006			
11	<i>S. planicola</i>	0.147	0.139	0.127	0.135	0.162	0.131	0.122	0.065	0.112	0.132	n/c		
12	<i>S. prasinus</i>	0.110	0.089	0.087	0.090	0.137	0.125	0.091	0.142	0.090	0.096	0.144	0.007	
13	<i>S. surdus</i>	0.032	0.045	0.023	0.060	0.140	0.135	0.107	0.157	0.028	0.105	0.139	0.092	0.000